

## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,	)	
	)	No. 63647-2-I
Respondent,	)	
	)	DIVISION ONE
v.	)	
	)	
WILSON LEE TILLMAN,	)	UNPUBLISHED OPINION
	)	
Appellant.	)	FILED: June 7, 2010
_____	)	

PER CURIAM. Wilson Tillman appeals his convictions for two counts of third degree assault, two counts of malicious mischief, and one count of attempted theft of a motor vehicle. He contends his sentence is based on an erroneous offender score and standard range because two of his convictions were the same criminal conduct. But Tillman waived this claim by failing to object at sentencing and affirmatively agreeing to the standard range in his presentence report. State v. Bergstrom, 162 Wn.2d 87, 169 P.3d 816 (2007); State v. Nitsch, 100 Wn. App. 512, 997 P.2d 1000 (2000).

Tillman also contends the case must be remanded for entry of written findings and conclusions as required by CrR 3.5(c). The trial court has entered the requisite findings, however, and Tillman has not alleged any prejudice from their delayed entry. Remand is therefore unnecessary. State v. Gaddy, 114 Wn.

No. 63647-2-I/2

App. 702, 705, 60 P.3d 116 (2002), aff'd, 152 Wn.2d 64, 93 P.3d 872 (2004).

Affirmed.

For The Court:

Spencer, J.

Dupont, C. J.

Becker, J.